

Computing the n th term of a P-finite sequence

1 Introduction

We have seen before how to efficiently compute the N th term of a C-finite sequence.

		C-finite (lecture 4)	P-finite
first N terms	arith	$O(N)$	$O(N)$
	bit	$O(N^2)$	$\tilde{O}(N^2)$
N th term	arith	$O(\log N)$	$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{N})$
	bit	$O(M(N))$	$\tilde{O}(N)$

In the P-finite case, one can obviously compute the first N terms in $O(N)$ arithmetic operations.

Over \mathbb{Z} , the bit size of the N th term is $O(N \log N)$ and can reach $\Omega(N \log N)$. The naive method for computing the first N terms takes

$$\leq M(C \cdot \log N) + 2M(C \cdot \log N) + 3M(C \cdot \log N) + \cdots + NM(C \cdot \log N) = O(N^2 M(\log N))$$

bit ops. As the total size of the output can reach $\Omega(N^2)$, it is already quasi-optimal.

For a single term, the complexity is far from linear in the output size, but the fast methods (e.g., using binary powering) that work in the C-finite case do not apply.

Remark. Algorithms for computing the N th term of a P-finite sequence apply to coefficients but also *partial sums* $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_n x^n$ of D-finite series and are thus useful for evaluating D-finite functions. \triangleleft

Definition. We will say that the recurrence

$$b_s(n) u_{n+s} + \cdots + b_0(n) u_n = 0 \tag{REC}$$

is *nonsingular* if $b_s(n) \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \triangleleft

Assumption. For simplicity we will restrict ourselves here to nonsingular recurrences. (Everything generalizes to singular recurrences along the lines of what we saw in the other half of the lecture.) \triangleleft

2 A baby steps-giant steps algorithm

We start with the problem of evaluating u_N in good *arithmetic* complexity.

2.1 Case of $N!$

Suppose first $N = \ell^2$. Write

$$N! = \underbrace{1 \times 2 \times \cdots \times \ell \times (\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 2) \times \cdots \times (2\ell) \times \cdots \times (\ell^2 - \ell + 1) \times \cdots \times \ell^2}_{\sqrt{N} \text{ blocks of } \sqrt{N} \text{ factors.}}$$

Algorithm. Input: N , output: $N!$ in \mathbb{K} (e.g., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$)

1. Let $\ell = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$.
 2. Compute $F(X) = (X + 1) \cdots (X + \ell) \in \mathbb{K}[X]$. $O(M(\ell) \log \ell)$
(baby steps)
 3. Evaluate F at $0, \ell, 2\ell, \dots, (\ell - 1)\ell$. $O(M(\ell) \log \ell)$
(giant steps)
 4. Multiply: $F(0) \cdot F(1) \cdots F((\ell - 1)\ell) \cdot (\ell^2 + 1) \cdots N$, return. $O(\ell)$
- Total $O(M(\ell) \log \ell) = O(M(\sqrt{N}) \log N)$. \triangleleft

This simple algorithm has far-reaching consequences, such as the following.

Theorem. There is a *deterministic* integer factoring algorithm that runs in time

$$N^{1/4 + o(1)}. \quad \triangleleft$$

The exponent $1/4$ here was the best known until 2020!

Proof.

- If N is composite, then $N \wedge (\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor!)$ is a proper factor.
- One can compute $\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor! \bmod N$ in $\tilde{O}(N^{1/4})$ **bit** operations ($\tilde{O}(N^{1/4})$ arith ops each of cost $O(M(\log N))$) using the previous algorithm over $\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$.
- The gcd costs $\tilde{O}(\log N)$. \square

Remark. Slightly better: skip step 4 and take $O(N^{1/4})$ separate gcfs. \triangleleft

2.2 General case of P-finite sequences

The same idea applies after reducing to a first-order recurrence.

More precisely, given (u_n) satisfying (REC), write

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{n+s-1} \\ u_{n+s} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{b_s} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ b_0 & \cdots & \cdots & b_{s-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{B(n) \in \mathbb{K}[n]_{\leq d}^{s \times s}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ \vdots \\ u_{n+s-2} \\ u_{n+s-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{U_n}. \quad (\text{MREC})$$

Then

$$U_N = B(N-1) \cdots B(1) B(0) U_0.$$